April 2017 Operating & Capital Funds Referenda

Supporting Data, Information, and Frequently-Asked Questions

March 13, 2017 Update



DRAFT WIP -FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

Rev. 13 March 2017

OAK PARK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT 97



6,000+ STUDENTS

KINDERGARDEN THROUGH EIGHTH GRADE






499 CERTIFIED STAFF

88 TEACHING ASSISTANTS

EIGHT ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS

TWO MIDDLE SCHOOLS

COMMUNITY-DEVELOPED DISTRICT VISION Positive Learning Environment
Whole-Child Focus
Inclusion
Equity




WHY ARE WE HERE TODAY?



District 97 is running two referenda questions on the April 4, 2017 ballot to address two immediate challenges

Immediate Challenges



Operating
Funds
Referenda
Question

Critical Needs

School buildings that are safe, functional, accessible, and of sufficient capacity

Capital
Facilities
Bond
Referenda
Question

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY




Based on extensive planning and analysis over the past year, the board has determined to run two referenda ballot questions on the April 4, 2017 Cook County Consolidated General Election:

	Operating Funds	Facilities Capital Expenditure (capex) Funds
Ballot Question:	1.000% limiting rate property tax extension to provide additional funds for operating expenses, generating an additional \$13.3MM annually	Authorization to issue up to \$57.5MM in 20-year capital infrastructure bonds
What's it for?	Operating Funds pay the day-to-day expenses of running a district and educating children. It includes salaries, benefits, instruction, utilities, technology, food, transportation, supervision, programs, custodians, special education services, and curriculum materials	Facilities Capex Funds pay for basic building infrastructure, including maintenance and repair, state-mandated Life/Safety upgrades, accessibility improvements, expansions, and modernizations
Why is it needed?	 A historic surge in enrollment (up 24% since 2007; 40-year high of 6,055 kids enrolled in fall 2016), combined with Declining revenues 	 Eight of the district's ten buildings are facing significant maintenance and repair needs that include roofing, boilers, electrical, plumbing, and fire code work over the next four years Expansions are needed at three elementary buildings due to increased enrollment Classroom modernization, accessibility improvements, and climate control are planned for all district buildings
Net impact to taxpayers:	\$74 per \$1,000 (or \$740 per \$10,000) of current tax bill	None; the debt payments will essentially renew the existing capital bonds issued in 1999 that funded the middle schools and elementary building upgrades

RECENT TIMELINE OF DATA AND DECISIONS





WHY THERE ARE TWO SEPARATE BALLOT QUESTIONS



Despite the additional complexity of featuring two separate questions on the ballot, the board's rationale for doing so included:

- Greater transparency
- The "ask" represents the minimum necessary to comply with D97 fiscal policies and ISBE guidelines while meeting the needs of the district's surging student enrollment
- No co-mingling of operating and capital funds, but to do so requires (by law) separate ballot questions
- The questions are interdependent; the benefits of either question require passage of both

How the Questions Will Appear on the Ballot

FORM OF BALLOT PROPOSITION – LIMITING RATE INCREASE

Shall the limiting rate under the Property Tax Extension Limitation Law for Oak Park School District Number 97, Cook County, Illinois, be increased by an additional amount equal to 1.000% above the limiting rate for school purposes for levy year 2015 and be equal to 4.982% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property therein for levy year 2016? YES YES

- (1) The approximate amount of taxes extendable at the most recently extended limiting rate is \$53,137,461, and the approximate amount of taxes extendable if the proposition is approved is \$66,481,876.
- (2) For the 2016 levy year the approximate amount of the additional tax extendable against property containing a single family residence and having a fair market value at the time of the referendum of \$100,000 is estimated to be \$266.85.
- (3) If the proposition is approved, the aggregate extension for 2016 will be determined by the limiting rate set forth in the proposition, rather than the otherwise applicable limiting rate calculated under the provisions of the Property Tax Extension Limitation Law (commonly known as the Property Tax Cap Law).

Shall the Board of Education of Oak Park School District Number 97, Cook County,	YES	
Illinois, improve the sites of, build and equip additions to, and alter, repair and equip school		
buildings of said School District and issue conds of said School District to the amount of \$57,500,000 for the purpose of paying the costs chereof?	No	

KEY DELIVERABLES OF REFERENDA SUCCESS





Maintain classroom sizes below state average Enable temperature-controlled learning spaces for all elementary buildings

Provide accessibility at all

district schools

Ensure financial stability for the district through









ACTFL ANNUAL CONVINTON A WORLD ANGUAGES EXPOSITION


WORLD ANGUAGES A WORLD ANGUAGES EXPOSITION

WORLD ANGUAGES ANGUAGES



Preserve high quality public education to over 6,000 children in Oak

Allow for expansion of buildings to accommodate historic enrollment surge




Provide resources to achieve Vision, including reducing the "Opportunity Gap"

CT

Modernize classrooms, including support for NextGen science curriculum


"DOMINO EFFECT" CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE





CONTENTS








VISION

D₉₇: A TENYEAR HISTORY OF INVESTMENT & INNOVATION





VISION



- Reflective of Oak Park values
- Arrived at through robust community and stakeholder input
- 100 Day Listening Tour
- Multiple advisory panels comprised of community leaders, parents, staff, students, and others
- 13 "Community Conversations" with outside facilitation
- National Equity
 Project (NEP) support
 to help refine and
 articulate goals

Oak Park Elementary School District 97 will create a <u>positive learning</u> <u>environment</u> for all students that is <u>equitable</u>, <u>inclusive</u> and <u>focused on</u> the whole child

2016-17 GOALS TO SUPPORT THE VISION (THE "BIG ROCKS"):

- 1. Align D97 systems, structures, and processes to reflect our stated value of educating the whole child (e.g. we act in ways that reflect our understanding of supporting the successful development of each and every student)
- 2. Establish a comprehensive system of support for all District 97 staff



KEYTERM: POSITIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT



IS A PLACE WHERE ALL MEMBERS OF THE SCHOOL COMMUNITY ARE ENGAGED IN HANDS-ON, REAL-WORLD EXPERIENCES AND FEEL SAFE, EMPOWERED, SUPPORTED AND VALUED.

EXAMPLES OF WORK & INVESTMENTS

- Enhance home/school connections
- Social workers, nurses in each building
- Enhancing safety/crisis response protocols

GOALS

- ✓ Every community member feels like a partner in supporting our schools & each & every student
- ✓ Students & staff feel empowered, valued, & respected



OBJECTIVES

- Provide all students with language and tools to feel empowered
- Provide staff with time, training and resources to build and support positive adult-student relationships
- Engage the entire community in support of our schools and each & every student
- Respect/value the perspectives and needs of students and teachers

KEY METRICS

- 5Essentials survey responses
- EFF survey responses

KEYTERM: INCLUSION



IS THE COLLECTIVE RESPONSIBILITY TO PROVIDE EQUITABLE OPPORTUNITIES FOR ACCESS AND PROMOTE THE ACTIVE PARTICIPATION OF ALL STAKEHOLDERS IN AN ACCEPTING AND SUPPORTING ENVIRONMENT



- Expansion of "push-in" support model
- Expansion of "co-teaching" model
- Strengthen our Multi Tiered System of Support (MTSS)
- Monitoring tools to ensure that resources are allocated for students who need support the most



- Meet the diverse needs of all students
- Support students to develop tolerance, empathy, & care for each other & the world
- Address concerns raised by community about students being "silo-ed" or separated from their peers
- All district to create co-teaching, "push-in" services for all students requiring related services

GOALS

- Students are known for who they are
- Students feel safe and have a sense of belonging
- Students unique needs are met

KEY METRICS

- % of identified students moving up/out of MTSS academic support
- % students meeting/exceeding NWEA MAP growth targets
- EFF survey responses

KEYTERM: WHOLE CHILD FOCUS



THE PRACTICE OF SUPPORTING, MEASURING AND CELEBRATING ALL ASPECTS OF A STUDENT'S DEVELOPMENT (SOCIAL/EMOTIONAL, ACADEMIC, PHYSICAL, ARTISTIC EXPRESSION) THROUGH CARING AND RESPECTFUL RELATIONS

EXAMPLES OF WORK & INVESTMENTS

- ★ Enhance professional learning experiences (cultural responsiveness, high expectations teaching, Formative Assessment for Results)
- Provide "wrap-around" services for students (before- and afterschool)
- Enhance learning spaces (science labs, media centers)

OBJECTIVES

- Expand variation of assessments, focus on the whole child (know all aspects of our students, soft skills and academic skills)
- Attend to the "whole child"
- Expand our programming to preschool in order to close the opportunity gap in middle and high school

GOALS

- ✓ Caring adult-student relationships
- ✓ Students lead their own learning & become lifelong learners

KEY METRICS

EFF survey responses



KEYTERM: EQUITY



THE PRACTICE OF BELIEFS AND CREATION OF SYSTEMS THAT PROVIDE ACCESS AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR ALL STUDENTS IN ORDER TO ELIMINATE THE PREDICTABILITY OF OUTCOMES ASSOCIATED WITH RACE, GENDER AND SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS



OBJECTIVES

- Address inequities in student outcomes based on achievement and discipline data
- Provide students' access to and participation in educational programming, classes, and extracurricular activities that will support students in high school and beyond

GOALS

- •Every student is challenged and engaged
- All students have access to programs and supports to prepare them for success in high school and beyond
- •We support every student to be a critical thinker who is ready to contribute to his/ her community

KEY METRICS

- EFF survey responses
- % of students with >95% attendance
- % of students placing into advanced math courses in 9th grade
- % student access to behavioral supports & practices
- % of students meeting or exceeding expectations on PARCC

EXAMPLES OF WORK & INVESTMENTS

- International Baccalaureate
- Project Lead the Way
- K-5 Writing Units of Study
- K-5 Eureka Math
- FOSS aligned to NGSS
- Literacy written curriculum framework
- 1:1 digital devices for grades 3-8



BACKGROUND

WHY ARE WE SEEKING AN OPERATING FUNDS REFERENDUM?




Recent History

- ◆ In 2011, the District sought its first operating funds referendum in 20 years
 - At the time, the district had successfully managed its finances to extended resources as much as possible before unacceptable program cuts would have been required
 - All D97 employees, including bargaining group partners and administrators, shared the sacrifice by freezing salaries
 - Timing was less than ideal following recession, but referendum passed with 54% of the 11,172 votes cast
 - Financial and enrollment projections were used to "size" the referendum to sustain the district until approximately 2018, when the 1999 capital referendum ("middle school") bonds will be fully paid
 - 2011 referendum was a "stopgap" the board projected that a new referendum would be required in the 2017-2019 time frame

D₉₇ PERFORMANCE IN MANAGING EXPENSES VS. 2011 REFERENDUM PROMISES AND PROJECTIONS






On both a total cost and per-student basis, D97 consistently maintained expenditures below budget and referendum commitments despite an increase of over 400 students above the enrollment projections through 2018*

FY2016

^{*2011} enrollment was approximately 5,500 students; current enrollment for 2016 has already exceeded the 2018-projected level of 6,000 students

D₉₇: A TEN YEAR HISTORY OF ENROLLMENT GROWTH






- Average daily attendance up 24% (1,080 kids) since 2007
- Fall enrollment up 10% (549 kids) since referendum year 2011, exceeding projections by 400 students
- Fall 2016
 enrollment has
 broken the 6,000
 mark for the first
 time in 40 years


SCHOOLS CONTINUE TO SUPPORT POPULATION GROWTH AND RETENTION IN OAK PARK



QUALITY **SCHOOLS** Why do people come to Oak Park? **DIVERSITY GEOGRAPHY** (including in all of its transit)

Oak Park Resident Responses - 2015 National Citizen Survey






Source: National Citizen Survey, National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and International City/County Management Association (ICMA) 2015

ACTUAL REVENUES VS. 2011 REFERENDUM PROJECTIONS





On both a total cost and per-student basis, revenues have not kept pace with 2011 referendum projections

WHY REVENUE HAS DECLINED




Issue #1: Property Taxes ~69% of D97 Revenue

- Illinois public school districts rely heavily on local property taxes for funding
- The Property Tax Extension Limitation Law (PTELL), a.k.a. "Tax Cap" law of Cook County, limits revenue growth from local property taxes to the lesser of inflation (CPI) or 5%
 - For D97 our annual property tax growth was limited to an average of 1.5% over the last five years


The growth in property tax revenues does not increase at the same rate as the increase in student-driven expenditures

Issue #2: State Funding ~19% of D97 Revenue

- Due to the budget crisis, the state has discounted and frozen payments
 - This represents approximately \$9MM todate of lost revenue since 2012
 - The state will not make up the shortfall
- In addition, the state has either not paid all of the mandated categorical payments in the year they are due or made late payments
 - Currently vouchers awaiting comptroller processing total ~\$3.8MM




Source: Oak Park Township Assessor's Office, Jan. 2016



THE DISCONNECT BETWEEN REVENUE AND STUDENTS SERVED/PROGRAMMING




OPERATING REVENUES & EXPENDITURES VS. AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE TRENDS



ACTUAL EXPENSES VS. ADJUSTED EXPENSES






ENROLLMENT FORECAST



Oak Park Elem. School District 97 Enrollment

2005/06 Through 2016/17 Actual 2017/18 Through 2020/21 Projected Trend through 2025/26




Source: Ehlers 2016 Enrollment Projection Update, January 2016 and D97 enrollment data

CURRENT SITUATON




- Forecast5 projections indicate that without an increase in revenue, decrease in costs (programs, staff), or both, the District will fall below ISBE guidelines and D97 fund balance policy requirements in FY 2016-17. D97 has already exceeded policy boundary conditions now >>
- Per policy 4:12, "when one or more of the financial boundary conditions...of this policy are being violated the Board and Administration shall take appropriate actions. Actions...may include, but are not limited to (in no specific order):
 - A curtailment in hiring;
 - A curtailment in implementation of new programs or in the initiation of the next phase of an existing program
 - Enacting an expenditure budget for the next fiscal year...
 - Personnel reductions...
 - Reduced salary and benefits increases and/or salary freezes...
 - Recommendation of a referendum to address the financial situation..."



PROJECTED OPERATING FUND BALANCE IF NO ACTION IS TAKEN





Forecast Assumptions


- Revenues
 - Property tax
 revenues will grow
 at the rate of
 inflation at 2%
 - State aid will remain flat (GSA will not be prorated)
 - Federal funds will remain flat
- Expenditures
 - Overall annualized expenditure growth is projected at 2.6% over the next five years
 - A pension shift is not included in the assumptions



OPERATING FUNDS REFERENDUM

UNDERSTANDING OPERATING FUNDS







- Operating Funds pay for the basic, day-to-day expenses of providing the core K-8 education in D97
- Includes salaries & benefits, utilities, bus services, meals, textbooks, classroom supplies
- Current funding levels provide for staff and programs to support a district-wide average class size of 21


Source: Illinois State Board of Education, 2016

OPERATING FUNDS REFERENDUM SIZE DECISION



- The board's referendum size decision was driven by a target fund balance (TFB) level five years out (2022)
- ◆ The board selected a target fund balance of 27% for reasons including the following:
 - Is the minimum needed that allows the board to regain compliance with policy 4:12 (as projected) and ISBE guidelines and also maintain current levels of services, staffing and programming
 - Provides some flexibility to cover state or federal funding shortfalls from projections and/or enrollment increases

Operating Fund Balance Projection With 27% TFB



Operating Funds Reference	dum
Proposed Limiting Rate Increase	1.000%
Proposed New Rate	\$4.982%
Proposed D97 Levy Increase	\$13,344,415
Proposed New D97 Operating Levy	\$66,481,876
Increase per current \$1,000 of tax bill	\$74
Increase for current \$10,000 annual tax bill	\$740



FACILITIES CAPEX REFERENDUM

UNDERSTANDING CAPITAL EXPENDITURES (CAPEX) FUNDS



- Capital expenditures are intended for long-life assets such as buildings and equipment
- School districts typically issue tax-free bonds to finance new construction, building expansions, major improvements, and major repairs
- ♦ Bonds allow for amortization of costs across the life of each asset being financed, typically 20+ years





The board evaluated several options and chose to run a 2017 Facilities Capex Bond referendum concurrent with Operating Limiting Rate referendum

- Most transparent restricts funds to capital uses; no footnoting of operating expenditures
- Best supports advance planning of work, which reduces cost and program disruption
- Most likely able to capture lower interest rates
- Allows for lower operating rate
- Best option to smooth the tax rates across 1999 bonds payoff

CURRENT NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED BY THE CAPITAL FACILITIES BOND REFERENDUM



- 1. All eight of the district's elementary buildings require significant maintenance and repair work to sustain **safety, structural integrity, and functionality** for the next ~20 years
 - Average age of elementary buildings is 85 years; newest school is 65 years old
 - Last major maintenance & repair investments occurred in 2000-2002
- 2. Only half of D97's ten buildings are fully accessible
- 3. Classroom modernization
- 4. Enrollment surge is necessitating physical capacity expansions at Holmes, Lincoln, and Longfellow







PLANNED FACILITIES CAPITAL INVESTMENTS BY CATEGORY AND YEAR



	Category	Description	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
✓	Maintenance & Repair to Support Core Functionality of Buildings Accessibility for All Students	 Accessibility improvements Fire alarm upgrades Lighting systems Power distribution upgrades Plumbing repairs Roof repairs Boiler repairs Structural repairs Tuckpointing 		\$11.7MM	\$13MM	\$12.9MM	\$37.6MM
✓ ✓	Classroom Modernization Capacity Expansions	 Enhanced learning spaces* Classroom modernization Conversion of former computer labs Expansions at Lincoln & Longfellow Upgrades and/or installation of HVAC systems (temperature control) 	\$4.7MM	\$9.6MM	\$4MM	\$1.7MM	\$20 MM
	Total		\$4.7MM	\$21.3MM	\$17MM	14.6MM	\$57.6MM
	Tentative	Project Timing >>	Holmes** Longfellow	Beye Lincoln Mann	Hatch Irving Whittier	Holmes Lincoln Longfellow	

^{*} Key investment to support Vision

^{**} Holmes expansion work in 2018 to be funded by DSEB

\$57.5MM BOND SALE OPTIONS



Oak Park SD 97, Cook County, IL Summary of Options - Bond Referendum April 4, 2017

Current Average Annual Payments 1999 Referendum Bonds	57,500,000 6 \$6M Dec 2016	57,500,000 5 \$6M Dec 2016	*
Timing of Receipt of Proceeds DSEB Bonds (Bank Qualified) Timing of Receipt of Proceeds Referendum Bonds Current Average Annual Payments 1999 Referendum Bonds Proposed Average Annual Referendum Debt Service Tax Rate Increase/Decrease in levy Year 2018 Over 2017** [0.3]	6 \$6M Dec 2016	6 \$6M Dec 2016	\$6M Dec 2016
(Bank Qualified) \$10M Dec 20 Timing of Receipt of Proceeds Referendum Bonds Current Average Annual Payments 1999 Referendum Bonds Proposed Average Annual Referendum Debt Service Tax Rate Increase/Decrease in levy Year 2018 Over 2017** [0.3] Impact of Tax Rate Increase/Decrease in Levy Year 2018 over 2017			*
Current Average Annual Payments 1999 Referendum Bonds Proposed Average Annual Referendum Debt Service Tax Rate Increase/Decrease in levy Year 2018 Over 2017** [0.3] Impact of Tax Rate Increase/Decrease in Levy Year 2018 over 2017 \$ (294.5)			
Proposed Average Annual Referendum Debt Service Tax Rate Increase/Decrease in levy Year 2018 Over 2017** [0.3] Impact of Tax Rate Increase/Decrease in Levy Year 2018 over 2017 \$ (294.5)	A \$57.5M Feb 2018	\$40M Feb 2018 \$17.5M Feb 2019	
Tax Rate Increase/Decrease in levy Year 2018 Over 2017** [0.3] Impact of Tax Rate Increase/Decrease in Levy Year 2018 over 2017 \$ (294.9)	A 4,456,850	4,456,850	4,456,850
Impact of Tax Rate Increase/Decrease in Levy Year 2018 over 2017 \$ (294.9)	A 4,532,876	4,249,206	4,389,084
	0.01	(0.00)	0
) \$ 4.88	\$ (0.10)	\$ -
Length of Maturity Referendum Bonds		s 21 Years	s 19 Years
Final Maturity Proposed Referendum Bonds	A 20 Years		

^{*} The debt service on all bond issues is estimated based on current market conditions. There is NO GUARANTEE that the debt service on the proposed bond issues won't be higher in the future depending on bond market conditions.

Source: William Blair & Co., December 2016

EXPECTED FISCAL POLICY COMPLIANCE/NON-COMPLIANCE BASED ON VARIOUS CAPEX FUNDING OPTIONS



Policy 4:42 - Capital Expenditures and Debt Service Goals and Objectives

Scorecard – January 13, 2017



Debt level is below boundary condition, indicator of possible under investment in infrastructure



Debt level is above boundary condition, indicator that debt burden to tax payers may be too high



Debt level is within the boundary condition

Long Term Indebtedness - Principal out Metric divided by statutory limit			Debt Service - Principal and Interest divided by Operating Expenses	DSEB Duration	District's Credit Rating
Boundary Condition	Percent within 25 th (19.4%) and 75 th (81.0%) percentile of peer group for next five years	Illinois Financial Profile Score of 2 (50%-75%) or 3 (25%- 50%) in the past year and current budget year.	Percent within 25 th (7.6%) and 75 th (16.2%) percentile of peer group for next five years	Durations less than or equal to five years.	District rating maintained at AA2 or higher
Referenda Passes – The sale of \$6M of DSEB in December 2016, the remaining \$10M of DSEB and sold in FY2018, plus \$57.5M sold between 2018 and 2022.	District would be able to keep its debt range within the boundary conditions, but would move from the current low end of the range to the high end	Since these are backward looking metrics, they are the same for both scenarios – slightly below the boundary condition of 25% in each of the last two years.	District 97 would stay within the lower half of the boundary range for the next five years.	Longest outstanding DSEB is 5 years, the district would definitely be able to maintain it over the next five years.	District would likely maintain or increase it AA2 rating.
Referenda Fails — The sale of \$6M of DSEB in December 2016, and the remaining \$10M of DSEB is sold in FY2018, and no referendum bonds in the next five years.	District 97 is currently at the low end of the boundary range, but by 2020 would be outside the boundary condition (less than half of the lower bound)		District 97 is currently at the low end of the boundary range, but by 2020 would be outside the boundary condition (less than half of the lower bound)	Longest outstanding DSEB would be 5 years, but any significant repairs within the next few years would likely result in needing to issue DSEB beyond 5-years.	District would almost definitely drop at least one to two levels in ratings.



FREQUENTLY-ASKED QUESTIONS

HOW ARE THE APRIL D₉₇ REFERENDA AND THE NOVEMBER D₂₀₀ REFERENDUM DIFFERENT?



- ➤ Oak Park and River Forest High School District 200, which ran the November 2016 pool referendum, is a completely separate district from Oak Park Elementary School District 97
- The D97 referenda are very different from the D200 November 2016 referendum
 - D97 referenda affects all 6,000+ of our students
 - Funds basic day-to-day operations of the schools, including teaching and learning
 - Funds core maintenance and repair of all elementary buildings, improved accessibility, expansion to accommodate enrollment, and temperature control

IS D₉₇ A GOOD STEWARD OF PUBLIC FINANCES?



- Since 2008 the district has maintained the Financial Oversight and Review Committee (FORC), a standing board committee comprised of outside citizen advisors with expertise in both public and private financial management. FORC acts as an independent, second set of "eyes and ears" on the districts finances, expenditures, revenues, budgets, fiscal policies, and decision-making.
- The D97 board is just one of a handful of districts statewide (among 850) to earn the prestigious Illinois Association of School Board's biennial *Governance* Recognition for sound governance, receiving the award in 2013 and 2015
- For the past four years D97 has received the highest "unqualified/unmodified" audit rating from its outside accountants (RSM McGladrey)
- The district's most recent credit rating was Aa2, among the highest in the state
- Developed in conjunction with FORC, D97's robust fiscal policies (http://www.op97.org/BOE/Policies.cfm) are among the strongest in the state of Illinois
 - Governs uses of debt, including regulating the use of debt for operating expenses
 - Tight risk tolerance for investments
 - One of only three districts in the state with a fund balance target policy that has both a floor (25%) and a ceiling (75%)

HOW WAS THE NEW ADMINISTRATION BUILDING PAID FOR?






- First occupied in 1970 intended to be temporary (max. 5 years)
- District maximized use and value of the asset for over 40 years
- Facing \$2.7MM in repairs for continued occupancy, including roof and HVAC system
- At capacity, but any major remodeling would trigger expensive building code and ADA compliance requirements



260 Madison (new admin building site)

- New Inter-Governmental Agreement (IGA) with the Village provided \$6.3MM in TIF dollars for new building
- Allowed 970 Madison plus D97 warehouse at 541 Madison to be redeveloped to improve Madison commercial district and expand tax base
- Intergovernmental cooperation included 40 year shared facilities agreement for D97 Buildings & Grounds department at Village Public Works garage
- "Right sized" building with 22,100 square feet, fully ADA compliant, built to "green" design standards for energy efficiency and healthy working environment; 50-year useful life

SOURCES – ONE TIME FUNDS					
\$6.3MM	TIF dollars				
\$0.8MM	Sale of 541 Madison*				
\$7.1MM					



ا	\$8.9MM			
	\$0.3MM	Furniture & equipment		
I	\$8.1MM	Construction		
l	\$0.5MM	Architecture		
ı	NEW BUILDING COSTS			

BENEFITS TO DISTRICT

- No educational programs or resources diminished
- No long-term debt incurred
- · Long-term operational savings
- Supports redevelopment/EAV growth

Net savings vs. staying at \$0.9MM 970 Madison

^{*} Estimated sale price; sale not yet completed as of December 2016

HOW DOES D97 COMPARE TO ITS PEER DISTRICTS?



In 2014 FORC recommended and then developed a list of peer districts for D97 in order to provide an objective reference standard for financial, academic, policy, and other criteria

Methodology

- Use objective criteria from structural (input) variables
- To ensure transparency, use only publically available information
- To prevent self-fulfilling prophecies, the outputs will not be checked prior to peer selection
- To facilitate understanding, the criteria and variables are kept as simple as possible
- To provide stability over time, the initial selection process required a peer district to meet the criteria for at least three consecutive years

Input Variables and Criteria


- **A.** County Restricted to tax-capped counties in roughly the same employment market region (Cook, Lake, DuPage, Will)
- **B. District Type** High school-only districts are excluded; unit districts are included but some variables scaled separately
- C. Number of Students To make like comparisons among elementary and unit districts and those districts with and without pre-K, only the enrollment of K-8 students are used
- D. Equalized Assessed Value (EAV) per Student –As with number of students, in order to make like comparisons, the EAV will is divided by the number of K-8 students
- E. Percent of Low Income Students

For the three numeric variables (C, D, and E), included districts are between .5-2x D97's values, which allows D97 to be roughly at the median for those values among identified peers.

D97 Peer Districts					
District	County	Туре			
Oak Park ESD 97	соок	Elementary District			
Antioch CCSD 34	LAKE	Elementary District			
Barrington CUSD 220	LAKE	Unit District (K-12)			
CCSD 93 (Carol Stream)	DUPAGE	Elementary District			
CUSD 200 (Wheaton)	DUPAGE	Unit District (K-12)			
ELMHURST SD 205	DUPAGE	Unit District (K-12)			
Evanston CCSD 65	соок	Elementary District			
GLEN ELLYN SD 41	DUPAGE	Elementary District			
Glenview CCSD 34	соок	Elementary District			
Grayslake CCSD 46	LAKE	Elementary District			
HAWTHORN CCSD 73	LAKE	Elementary District			
La Grange SD 102	соок	Elementary District			
Lombard SD 44	DUPAGE	Elementary District			
New Lenox SD 122	WILL	Elementary District			
Oak Lawn-Hometown SD 123	соок	Elementary District			
Orland SD 135	соок	Elementary District			
Troy CCSD 3oC (Joliet/Plainfield)	WILL	Elementary District			
Wauconda CUSD 118	LAKE	Unit District (K-12)			
WOODLAND CCSD 50 (Gurnee)	LAKE	Elementary District			

PEER DISTRICT COMPARISON: PER-PUPIL SPENDING






Average operating expenditures per pupil among peer districts is \$13,014; D97 is within 7% of average

PEER DISTRICT COMPARISON: SALARIES & BENEFITS EXPENSE PER STUDENT






Average salaries and benefits per pupil among peer districts is \$10,436; D97 is within 4% of average

PEER DISTRICT COMPARISON: PER PUPIL EXPENDITURE GROWTH




OPERATING EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL (5 YEAR ANNUALIZED % CHANGE FY2010 - FY2015)



PEER DISTRICT COMPARISON: PER PUPIL LONG-TERM DEBT




LONG-TERM DEBT PER STUDENT - FY2015



PEER DISTRICT COMPARISON: ELA/READING STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT






Source: ECRA Group, December 2016

PEER DISTRICT COMPARISON: MATHEMATICS STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT





Source: ECRA Group, December 2016

WHAT ARE THE KEY FEATURES OF D₉₇'S CURRENT COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT WITH TEACHERS?



- ❖In January 2015 the board and its OPTA partners concluded a 14 month negotiation process by arriving at a new collective bargaining agreement for certified staff
- The negotiations were conducted with the 2017 operating funds referendum in mind; one of the objectives being to "tilt" the expense growth trajectory to a more sustainable level
- The current agreement runs through June 2018

2014-2018 OPTA Collective Bargaining Agreement – Key Outcomes

- Establishment of a sustainable compensation structure
- Replacement of traditional steps and lanes
 (25 steps with 8 lanes) with a compressed
 salary schedule featuring four bands and five
 recognitions that emphasize teacher
 effectiveness, including National Board
 certification
- Elimination of automatic increases for any teacher who receives a summative evaluation of unsatisfactory or needs improvement
- Introduction of a higher average starting salary that aligns District 97 more closely with other districts in the area and helps attract and retain highly qualified and skilled teachers
- Creation of a 403(b) plan, which includes a 50 percent district match up to a maximum of two percent for all OPTA members; offers greater stability, enables teachers to diversify their retirement funds, and provides an additional aspect for recruitment and retention

- Phase-out of the "4x6" retirement option (four consecutive years with a six percent increase to salary base; a.k.a. "pension spiking")
- Transition to a health insurance system in which the district contributes a set dollar amount based on the type of coverage (e.g., single, family, etc.) in order to make contributions more consistent and predictable
- Equal split between the district and individual OPTA members of the cost of annual health insurance premium increases over seven percent on any plan
- Establishment of new leadership opportunities and roles/stipend positions to support key areas such as curriculum development, use of data, and assessment
- Offers professional development opportunities focused specifically on diversity

VISION



Oak Park Elementary School District 97 will create a positive learning environment for all students that is equitable, inclusive and focused on the whole child

2016-17 GOALS TO SUPPORT THE VISION (THE "BIG ROCKS"):

- 1. Align D97 systems, structures, and processes to reflect our stated value of educating the whole child (e.g. we act in ways that reflect our understanding of supporting the successful development of each and every student)
- Establish a comprehensive system of support for all District 97 staff



THANKYOU!

For follow up questions and Information, please contact us.



Dr. Carol Kelley, Superintendent

BOARD OF EDUCATION

Jim Gates, President
Amy Felton, Vice President
Graham Brisben
A. Rupa Datta
Jim O'Connor
Bob Spatz
Holly Spurlock

Sheryl Marinier, Board Secretary

260 Madison St.
Oak Park, IL 60302
(708) 524-3000
www.op97.org